

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,854	07/10/2003	Latasha E. Lewis	44099-182055	9998
23973	7590 11/15/2004		EXAM	INER
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH ONE LOGAN SQUARE			PATEL, T	AJASH D
	CHERRY STREETS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELP	PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996			

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) 0	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/615,854	LEWIS, LATASHA E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Tejash D Patel	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati		with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	10 July 2003				
· ·					
· -					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the					
application from the International E		Treserved in this Hallonal Olage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.			
Attachment(s)		,			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, 4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ 		v(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/3/04</u> .	6) Other: _				

Application/Control Number: 10/615,854

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frost (US 5,180,165). Frost discloses a hand protection device (20) including a gripping portion having first and second gripping surfaces (36,38) and a flange portion (34) that is substantially normal to the gripping portion and covers the upper extremity of the hand as shown in figures 1 and 4. In addition, the flange portion of the hand device is made of a hard portion (40), col. 3, lines 38-45 and as shown in figure 2. Also, the first gripping surface engages the hand while the second gripping surface engages an object (32) grasped by the device. Frost discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the flange portion being substantially resistant to penetration by sharp implements.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that the hand device of Frost having a flange portion being made of a hard material can be substantially resistant to penetration by sharp implements or depending on the end use thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,854

Art Unit: 3765

With regard to claims 2 and 8, col. 3, lines 41-46 of Frost states that the device can be made of "all hard material, such as metal, hard plastics or of a somewhat flexible material such as soft rubber, soft plastic or the like". Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to recognize that the hand device of Frost having first and second gripping surfaces can be textured in order to provide and increase gripping surface area or depending on the end use thereof.

3. Claims 3-4, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frost as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of McHugh (US 5,806,091). Frost discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the hand protector having at least one finger loop/pocket.

McHugh discloses a hand protector having first and second gripping surfaces with at least one finger loop/pocket (26) as shown in figure 2A.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the hand protector of Frost with at least one finger loop/pocket as taught by McHugh in order to maintain the device relative to the hand while the second surface engages a grasped object.

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (703) 306-9184. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

November 3, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER